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Why still DL in 2021?

**Theoretical**
- **Expressiveness**: Neural nets can approximate any function.
- **Learnability**: Neural nets are trained easily.
- **Generalisability**: Neural nets generalize surprisingly well to unseen data.

**Practical**
- **Generality**: Applicable to many domains.
- **Competitive**: DL is hard to beat as long as there are data to train.
- **Scalability**: DL is better with more data, and it is very scalable.
The next AI/ML challenge

The third wave of AI

2020s-2030s
- Learning + reasoning, general purpose, human-like
- Has contextual and common-sense reasoning
- Requires less data
- Adapt to change
- Explainable

Photo credit: DARPA
Reasoning in Probabilistic Graphical Models (PGM)

- Assuming models are fully specified (e.g., by hand or learnt)
  - Estimate MAP as energy minimization
  - Compute marginal probability
  - Compute expectation & normalisation constant

- Key algorithm: Pearl's Belief Propagation, a.k.a Sum-Product algorithm in factor graphs.

\[ m_{i \to j}(x_j) = \sum_{x_i} \phi(x_i) \phi(x_i, x_j) \prod_{t \in N(i) \setminus j} m_{t \to i}(x_i) \]

Figure credit: Jonathan Hui
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Can we learn to infer directly from data without full specification of models?
Lecture 1: Sub-topics

• Reasoning as a prediction skill that can be learnt from data.
  • Question answering as zero-shot learning.

• Neural network operations for learning to reason:
  • Attention & transformers.
  • Dynamic neural networks, conditional computation & differentiable programming.

• Reasoning as iterative representation refinement & query-driven program synthesis and execution
  • Compositional attention networks.
  • Neural module networks.
Learning to reason

• Learning is to self-improve by experiencing ~ acquiring knowledge & skills

• Reasoning is to deduce knowledge from previously acquired knowledge in response to a query (or a cues)

• Learning to reason is to improve the ability to decide if a knowledge base entails a predicate.
  • E.g., given a video f, determines if the person with the hat turns before singing.

Learning to reason, a definition

Definition 2.1.1. An algorithm $A$ is an exact reasoning algorithm for the reasoning problem $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{Q})$, if for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}$, when $A$ is presented with input $(f, \alpha)$, $A$ runs in time polynomial in $n$ and the size of $f$ and $\alpha$, and answers “yes” if and only if $f \models \alpha$.

E.g., given a video $f$, determines if the person with the hat turns before singing.

Practical setting: \((query, database, answer)\) triplets

- This is very general:
  - **Classification**: Query = *what is this?* Database = *data*.
  - **Regression**: Query = *how much?* Database = *data*.
  - **QA**: Query = *NLP question*. Database = *context/image/text*.
  - **Multi-task learning**: Query = *task ID*. Database = *data*.
  - **Zero-shot learning**: Query = *task description*. Database = *data*.
  - **Drug-protein binding**: Query = *drug*. Database = *protein*.
  - **Recommender system**: Query = *User (or item)*. Database = *inventories (or user base)*;
Can neural networks reason?

Reasoning is not necessarily achieved by making logical inferences.

There is a continuity between [algebraically rich inference] and [connecting together trainable learning systems].

Central to reasoning is composition rules to guide the combinations of modules to address new tasks.

“When we observe a visual scene, when we hear a complex sentence, we are able to explain in formal terms the relation of the objects in the scene, or the precise meaning of the sentence components. However, there is no evidence that such a formal analysis necessarily takes place: we see a scene, we hear a sentence, and we just know what they mean. This suggests the existence of a middle layer, already a form of reasoning, but not yet formal or logical.”

Hypotheses

• Reasoning as just-in-time program synthesis.
• It employs conditional computation.
• Reasoning is recursive, e.g., mental travel.
Two approaches to neural reasoning

• **Implicit chaining of predicates through recurrence:**
  • Step-wise query-specific attention to relevant concepts & relations.
  • Iterative concept refinement & combination, e.g., through a working memory.
  • Answer is computed from the last memory state & question embedding.

• **Explicit program synthesis:**
  • There is a set of modules, each performs an pre-defined operation.
  • Question is parse into a symbolic program.
  • The program is implemented as a computational graph constructed by chaining separate modules.
  • The program is executed to compute an answer.
In search for basic neural operators for reasoning

• Basics:
  • Neuron as feature detector → Sensor, filter
  • Computational graph → Circuit
  • Skip-connection → Short circuit

• Essentials
  • Multiplicative gates → AND gate, Transistor, Resistor
  • Attention mechanism → SWITCH gate
  • Memory + forgetting → Capacitor + leakage
  • Compositionality → Modular design

• ..
Lecture 1: Sub-topics

• Reasoning as a prediction skill that can be learnt from data.
• Question answering as zero-shot learning.
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**Attentions:** Picking up only what is needed at a step

- Need attention model to select or ignore certain **computations** or **inputs**
  - Can be “soft” (differentiable) or “hard” (requires RL)
  - Needed for selecting predicates in reasoning.
- Attention provides a short-cut to long-term dependencies
  - Needed for long chain of reasoning.
  - Also encourages sparsity if done right!

http://distill.pub/2016/augmented-rnns/
Fast weights | HyperNet – the multiplicative interaction

- Early ideas in early 1990s by Juergen Schmidhuber and collaborators.
- **Data-dependent weights** | Using a controller to generate weights of the main net.

Figure: The HyperRNN system. The black system represents the main RNN while the orange system represents the weight-generating HyperRNN cell.

Memory networks: Holding the data ready for inference

• Input is a set → Load into memory, which is NOT updated.
• State is a RNN with attention reading from inputs
• Concepts: Query, key and content + Content addressing.
• Deep models, but constant path length from input to output.
• Equivalent to a RNN with shared input set.

Transformers: Analogical reasoning through self-attention

\[ A_h = \text{Softmax}(\alpha Q_h K_h^T) V_h \]

\[ Q_h = W_q X, K_h = W_k X \text{ and } V_h = W_v X \]

State

Query

Key

Memory

\[ \mathcal{O}(n^2) \]

Transformer as implicit reasoning

• Recall: Reasoning as (free-) energy minimisation
  • The classic Belief Propagation algorithm is minimization algorithm of the Bethe free-energy!

• Transformer has relational, iterative state refinement makes it a great candidate for implicit relational reasoning.

Transformer v.s. memory networks

- Memory network:
  - Attention to input set
  - One hidden state update at a time.
  - Final state integrate information of the set, conditioned on the query.

- Transformer:
  - Loading all inputs into working memory
  - Assigns one hidden state per input element.
  - All hidden states (including those from the query) to compute the answer.
Universal transformers


Dynamic neural networks

• Memory-Augmented Neural Networks
• Modular program layout
• Program synthesis
Neural Turing machine (NTM)
A memory-augmented neural network (MANN)

- A controller that takes input/output and talks to an external memory module.
- Memory has read/write operations.
- The main issue is where to write, and how to update the memory state.
- All operations are differentiable.

Source: rylanschaeffer.github.io
MANN for reasoning

• Three steps:
  • Store data into memory
  • Read query, process sequentially, consult memory
  • Output answer

• Behind the scene:
  • Memory contains data & results of intermediate steps
  • LOGNet does the same, memory consists of object representations

• Drawbacks of current MANNs:
  • No memory of controllers → Less modularity and compositionality when query is complex
  • No memory of relations → Much harder to chain predicates.

Source: rylanschaeffer.github.io
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MAC Net: Recurrent, iterative representation refinement

Module networks
(reasoning by constructing and executing neural programs)

- Reasoning as laying out modules to reach an answer
- Composable neural architecture → question parsed as program (layout of modules)
- A module is a function \((x \rightarrow y)\), could be a sub-reasoning process \(((x, q) \rightarrow y)\).

What color is the thing with the same size as the blue cylinder?

• green

How many things are the same size as the ball?

• four
Example: A framework for visual reasoning
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